arXiv:1304.4012v1 [math.NT] 15 Apr 2013

EULERIAN SERIES AS MODULAR FORMS REVISITED

ERIC T. MORTENSON

Abstract. In recent work, Bringmann, Ono, and Rhoades employ the theory of harmonic weak Maass forms to prove results on Eulerian series as modular forms. By changing the setting to Appell–Lerch sums, after recent work of Hickerson and the author, we shorten the proof of one of the main theorems of Bringmann *et al.* and also clarify speculation of Soon-Yi Kang.

0. Definitions and Introduction

Let q be a complex number, $0<|q|<1$, and define $\mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$. We recall

$$(x)_n = (x;q)_n := \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(1-q^ix), \quad (x)_\infty = (x;q)_\infty := \prod_{i\ge 0}(1-q^ix),$$

$$j(x;q) := (x)_\infty (q/x)_\infty (q)_\infty = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} x^n, \tag{0.1}$$

where in the last line the equivalence of product and sum follows from Jacobi's triple product identity. The following are special cases of the above definition. Let a and m be integers with m positive. Define

$$J_{a,m} := j(q^a;q^m), \quad \overline{J}_{a,m} := j(-q^a;q^m), \text{ and } J_m := J_{m,3m} = \prod_{i\ge 1}(1-q^{mi}).$$

We will use the following definition of an Appell-Lerch sum. Let $x, z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ with neither z nor xz an integral power of q. Then using the notation of [7]:

$$m(x,q,z) := \frac{1}{j(z;q)} \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^r q^{\binom{r}{2}} z^r}{1-q^{r-1}xz}. \tag{0.2}$$

Appell–Lerch sums are useful in studying q-hypergeometric series [7, 12, 14]. In seminal work of Lovejoy and Osburn [9, 10], the techniques and results of Hickerson and the author on relating indefinite theta series to Appell–Lerch sums [7] were instrumental in determining (mixed) mock modular behaviour of multisum q-hypergeometric series. One finds traces of Appell–Lerch sums throughout the Lost Notebook [13]. Many identities

---

Date: 15 April 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B65, 11F11, 11F27.

Key words and phrases. Appell-Lerch sums, Eulerian forms, q-hypergeometric series.

in the Lost Notebook express Eulerian series in terms of what are essentially $m(x,q,z)$ functions. For example, in [13], one finds, slightly rewritten [3]

$$\phi(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n^2}(q;q^2)_n}{(-q)_{2n}} = 2m(q,q^3,-1),$$
$$\sigma(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\binom{n+2}{2}}(-q)_n}{(q;q^2)_{n+1}} = -m(q^2,q^6,q),$$

where $\phi(q)$ and $\sigma(q)$ are two of Ramanujan's sixth order mock theta functions.

Appell–Lerch sums satisfy several functional equations and identities, which we collect in the form of a proposition:

Proposition 0.1. [7] *For generic* $x, z, z_0, z_1 \in \mathbb{C}^*$

$$m(x,q,z) = m(x,q,qz), \tag{0.3a}$$

$$m(x,q,z) = x^{-1}m(x^{-1},q,z^{-1}), \tag{0.3b}$$

$$m(qx,q,z) = 1 - xm(x,q,z), \tag{0.3c}$$

$$m(x,q,z_1) - m(x,q,z_0) = \frac{z_0 J_1^3 j(z_1/z_0;q) j(xz_0z_1;q)}{j(z_0;q)j(z_1;q)j(xz_0;q)j(xz_1;q)}. \tag{0.3d}$$

Although one does not find anything as explicit as (0.3a)–(0.3d) in [13], one does find special cases of many of the identities. For example, (0.3d) specializes to the following Lost Notebook relation for the above sixth orders [3, $(0.19)_R$]:

$$\phi(q^2) + 2\sigma(q) = \prod_{n\geq 1}(1+q^{2n-1})^2(1-q^{6n})(1+q^{6n-3})^2. \tag{0.4}$$

One can also view (0.4) as a linear combination of Eulerian series which essentially yields a weight 1/2 weakly holomorphic modular form. Another example is [2, Entry 12.4.1] which is a combination of (0.3b) and (0.3d) .

One can do more than simply expand Eulerian series in terms of $m(x,q,z)$ functions. For Ramanujan's classical mock theta functions, Hickerson and the author [7, Section 4] showed that if one allows repetition in x one can always write the function entirely in terms of $m(x,q,z)$'s. If one does not allow for duplication in x, one can adjust the z's such that there is only a single theta function. For the fifth order function $f_0(q)$ [7]:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{q^{n^2}}{(-q)_n} &= m(q^{14},q^{30},q^{14}) + m(q^{14},q^{30},q^{29}) + q^{-2}m(q^4,q^{30},q^4) + q^{-2}m(q^4,q^{30},q^{19})\\ &= 2m(q^{14},q^{30},q^4) + 2q^{-2}m(q^4,q^{30},q^4) + \frac{J_{5,10}J_{2,5}}{J_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Such expansions are of interest when studying the partial theta function duals [12].

Ramanujan also expanded more involved Eulerian series in terms of Appell–Lerch like sums. We recall [12, Proposition 2.6]:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^nq^{n^2}(q;q^2)_n}{(x;q^2)_{n+1}(q^2/x;q^2)_n}=m(-x,q,-1)+\frac{J_{1,2}^2}{2j(x;q)},\tag{0.5}$$

$$\Big(1-\frac{1}{x}\Big)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n(q;q^2)_nq^{(n+1)^2}}{(xq;q^2)_{n+1}(q/x;q^2)_{n+1}}=m(-x,q,-1)-\frac{J_{1,2}^2}{2j(x;q)},\tag{0.6}$$

where identities (0.5)–(0.6) are based on equations of [13] proved in Andrews's [1]. Identities such as (0.5)–(0.6) and the techniques of [7] are useful in finding additional q-hypergeometric and bilateral q-hypergeometric series with (mixed) mock modular behaviour [12]. In [7], we also find new Appell–Lerch sums identities. These include

Theorem 0.2. [7] *For generic* $x,z,z'\in\mathbb{C}^*$

$$\begin{aligned}m(x,q,z)&=\sum_{r=0}^{n-1}q^{-\binom{r+1}{2}}(-x)^rm\big(-q^{\binom{n}{2}-nr}(-x)^n,q^{n^2},z'\big)\\&\quad+\frac{z'J_n^3}{j(xz;q)j(z';q^{n^2})}\sum_{r=0}^{n-1}\frac{q^{\binom{r}{2}}(-xz)^rj\big(-q^{\binom{n}{2}+r}(-x)^nzz';q^n\big)j(q^{nr}z^n/z';q^{n^2})}{j\big(-q^{\binom{n}{2}}(-x)^nz',q^rz;q^n\big)}.\end{aligned}$$

We note that Theorem 0.2 enabled Lovejoy and Osburn [11] to prove recently conjectured identities for the tenth order mock theta functions [5].

1. A few more technical details

We list a few more technical details [7]. A few useful theta function identities are

$$\overline{J}_{0,1}=2\overline{J}_{1,4}=\frac{2J_2^2}{J_1},\overline{J}_{1,2}=\frac{J_2^5}{J_1^2J_4^2},J_{1,2}=\frac{J_1^2}{J_2},\overline{J}_{1,3}=\frac{J_2J_3^2}{J_1J_6},J_{1,4}=\frac{J_1J_4}{J_2}.$$

We state additional general identities for the theta function:

$$j(q^nx;q)=(-1)^nq^{-\binom{n}{2}}x^{-n}j(x;q),\quad n\in\mathbb{Z},\tag{1.1a}$$

$$j(x;q)=j(q/x;q)=-xj(x^{-1};q),\tag{1.1b}$$

$$j(x;q)=J_1j(x,qx;q^2)/J_2^2\tag{1.1c}$$

$$j(z;q)=j(-qz^2;q^4)-zj(-q^3z^2;q^4),\tag{1.1d}$$

$$j(x^2;q^2)=j(x;q)j(-x;q)/J_{1,2},\tag{1.1e}$$

We also recall the reciprocal of Jacobi's theta product:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^nq^{\binom{n+1}{2}}}{1-q^nz}=\frac{J_1^3}{j(z;q)}.\tag{1.2}$$

Finally we note for generic $x,y,z\in\mathbb{C}^*$:

$$j(x;q)j(y;q)=j(-xy;q^2)j(-qx^{-1}y;q^2)-xj(-qxy;q^2)j(-x^{-1}y;q^2).\tag{1.3}$$

2. The theorem and the alternate proof

Motivated by Dyson's rank differences, Bringmann, Ono, and Rhoades [4] used the theory of harmonic weak Maass forms in order to identify linear combinations of Eulerian series which are weakly holomorphic modular forms.

We recall the relevant notation from [4]. Define $K'(w;z)$, $K''(w;z)$, $H'(a,c,w;z)$, by

$$K'(\omega;z):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^nq^{n^2}(q;q^2)_n}{(\omega q^2;q^2)_n(\omega^{-1}q^2;q^2)_{n+1}},\tag{2.1}$$

$$K''(\omega;z):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^nq^{n^2}(q;q^2)_{n-1}}{(\omega q;q^2)_n(\omega^{-1}q;q^2)_n},\tag{2.2}$$

$$H'(a,c,w;z):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}(-q)_n}{(\omega q^{\frac{a}{c}})_{n+1}(\omega q^{1-\frac{a}{c}})_{n+1}},\tag{2.3}$$

where $0<a<c$. Further, let $\zeta_c:=e^{2\pi i/c}$ and $f_c:=2c/\gcd(c,4)$. Let

$$\tilde{K}(a,c;z):=\frac{1}{4}\csc\Big(\pi\frac{a}{c}\Big)q^{-\frac{1}{8}}K'(\zeta_c^a;z)+\sin\Big(\pi\frac{a}{c}\Big)q^{-\frac{1}{8}}K''(\zeta_c^a;z),\tag{2.4}$$

$$\tilde{H}(a,c;z):=q^{\frac{a}{c}(1-\frac{a}{c})}(H'(a,c,1;z)-H'(a,c,-1;z)),\tag{2.5}$$

where a sign error has been corrected in (2.5). One of the main results of [4] reads

Theorem 2.1. [4, Theorem 1.3] *Let $0<a<c$. In the notation above, $\tilde{H}(a,c;4f_c^2z)$ is a weight $1/2$ weakly holomorphic modular form on $\Gamma_1(64f_c^4)$ and $\tilde{K}(a,c;2f_c^2z)$ is a weight $1/2$ weakly holomorphic modular form on $\Gamma_1(64f_c^4)$.*

Although the proof of [4, Theorem 1.3] is lengthy and detailed, it yields no explicit formulas for $\tilde{H}$ and $\tilde{K}$. Here we change the context to Appell–Lerch sums, after Hickerson and the author [7]. By employing the techniques of [7] in that we first write the q-series in terms of the $m(x,q,z)$ function, we shorten their proof [4] and clarify speculation of Kang [8].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $0<a<c$. In the notation above, we have*

$$\tilde{H}(a,c;z)=2q^{\frac{a}{c}(1-\frac{a}{c})}\frac{J_2^3}{J_{1,2}j(q^{\frac{2a}{c}};q^2)},\quad \tilde{K}(a,c;z)=-\frac{i\zeta_c^{a/2}q^{-\frac{1}{8}}}{2}\frac{J_{1,2}^2}{j(\zeta_c^a;q)}.$$

In [8], two proofs for $\tilde{K}(a,c,;z)$ are given. Both use techniques from basic hypergeometric series. The first proof employs an identity equivalent to Ramanujan's ${}_1\psi_1$ summation and the second uses specializations of Watson-Whipple. For the second proof [8], Kang speculates that the identity for $\tilde{K}(a,c;z)$ does not follow from the annihilation of Appell-Lerch sums. Here we show that that is indeed the case. We give two proofs. The first draws from Ramanujan [13] and Andrews [1], and the second demonstrates how to use new Appell-Lerch sum properties [7] to go from the Watson-Whipple results of Kang [8] to identities (0.5)–(0.6).

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Using (0.5) and (0.6), we see

$$K'(\omega;z) = (1-\omega)\Big(m(-\omega,q,-1) + \frac{J_{1,2}^2}{2j(\omega;q)}\Big),$$

$$K''(\omega;z) = \frac{\omega}{1-\omega}\Big(m(-\omega,q,-1) - \frac{J_{1,2}^2}{2j(\omega;q)}\Big).$$

The explicit form for $\tilde{K}$ is then immediate. For $\tilde{H}$, we begin with [4, (4.1)]:

$$\begin{aligned}H(a,b,c;z) :&= \frac{1}{J_{1,2}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n q^{n+\frac{a}{c}} q^{n(n+1)}}{1-\zeta_c^b q^{n+\frac{a}{c}}}\\ &= \frac{q^{\frac{a}{c}}}{J_{1,2}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n q^{n^2+2n}}{1-\zeta_c^{2b} q^{\frac{2a}{c}} q^{2n}} + \frac{\zeta_c^b q^{\frac{2a}{c}}}{J_{1,2}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n q^{n^2+3n}}{1-\zeta_c^{2b} q^{\frac{2a}{c}} q^{2n}}\\ &= -q^{\frac{a}{c}-1} m(\zeta_c^{2b} q^{\frac{2a}{c}-1}, q^2, q) + \frac{\zeta_c^{-b} J_2^3}{J_{1,2}\, j(\zeta_c^{2b} q^{\frac{2a}{c}}; q^2)},\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used (0.2) and (1.2). The result follows upon recalling [4, (4.5)]

$$\tilde{H}(a,c;z) = q^{\frac{a}{c}(1-\frac{a}{c})}(H(a,0,c;z) - H(a,c/2,c;z)). \qquad \square$$

Second proof of $\tilde{K}(a,c,z)$: Kang [8] showed using Watson-Whipple [6, eq. (2.5.1), p. 43]:

$$\frac{1}{1-\omega}K'(\omega;\tau) = \frac{1}{\overline{J}_{1,4}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{q^{2n^2+n}}{1-\omega q^{2n}}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\Big(1-\frac{1}{\omega}\Big)K''(\omega;\tau) = -\frac{1}{\overline{J}_{1,4}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{q^{2n^2+3n+1}}{1-\omega q^{2n+1}}. \tag{2.7}$$

In [8], one adds (2.6) and (2.7) and uses (1.2). Identity (2.6) is also in [13, 1].

We show how to use Theorem 0.2 and elementary theta function properties to obtain (0.5) from (2.6). That for (0.6) is similar. We begin with

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\overline{J}_{1,4}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{q^{2n^2+n}}{1-\omega q^{2n}} &= \frac{1}{\overline{J}_{1,4}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{q^{2n^2+n}}{1-\omega^2 q^{4n}} + \frac{\omega}{\overline{J}_{1,4}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{q^{2n^2+3n}}{1-\omega^2 q^{4n}}\\ &= m(-\omega^2 q, q^4, -q^3) + \omega q^{-1} m(-\omega^2 q^{-1}, q^4, -q)\\ &= m(-\omega^2 q, q^4, -q) + \omega q^{-1} m(-\omega^2 q^{-1}, q^4, -q) + \frac{J_{1,2}^2 j(-q\omega^2; q^4)}{j(\omega;q) j(-\omega;q)},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (1.1a), (0.3a), (0.3d) and done some simplifying. Using Theorem 0.2 with $x=-\omega$, $z=-1$, and $z'=-q$ and more simplifying we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\overline{J}_{1,4}}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{q^{2n^2+n}}{1-\omega q^{2n}} = m(-\omega,q,-1) + \frac{J_{1,2}^2 j(-q\omega^2;q^4)}{j(\omega;q)j(-\omega;q)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{J_{1,2}^2}{2j(\omega;q)^2j(-\omega;q)}\Big[j(-\omega^2;q^2)\overline{J}_{1,2}-\omega j(-q\omega^2;q^2)\overline{J}_{0,2}\Big]\\
&=m(-\omega,q,-1)+\frac{J_{1,2}^2j(-q\omega^2;q^4)}{j(\omega;q)j(-\omega;q)}-\frac{J_{1,2}^2}{2j(-\omega;q)}\\
&=m(-\omega,q,-1)+\frac{J_{1,2}^2}{2j(-\omega;q)j(\omega;q)}\Big[2j(-q\omega^2;q^4)-j(\omega;q)\Big]\\
&=m(-\omega,q,-1)+\frac{J_{1,2}^2}{2j(-\omega;q)j(\omega;q)}\Big[j(-q\omega^2;q^4)+\omega j(-q^3\omega^2;q^4)\Big]\\
&=m(-\omega,q,-1)+\frac{J_{1,2}^2}{2j(\omega;q)},
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from (1.3) and the last two from (1.1d). ☐

REFERENCES

[1] G. E. Andrews, *Mordell integrals and Ramanujan's "lost" notebook,* pp. 10-48, Analytic Number theory, Philadelphia (1980), Lect. Notes Math. 889 (1981).

[2] G. E. Andrews, B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's Lost Notebook Part I*, Springer, New York, 2005.

[3] G. E. Andrews, D. R. Hickerson, *Ramanujan's "lost" notebook. VII: The sixth order mock theta functions*, Adv. Math., **89** (1991), no. 1, pp. 60-105.

[4] K. Bringmann, K. Ono, R. C. Rhoades, *Eulerian series as modular forms*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **21** (2008), 1085–1104.

[5] B. Gordon, R. McIntosh, *A survey of classical mock theta functions*, in: Partitions, q-series, and Modular Forms, Developments in Mathematics 2012, vol. 23, 95–144.

[6] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, Encyclopedia Mathematics (Cambridge University Press, 2004)

[7] D. R. Hickerson, E. T. Mortenson, *Hecke-type double sums, Appell–Lerch sums, and mock theta functions (I)*, submitted, arxiv:1208.1421.

[8] S.-Y. Kang, *Mock Jacobi forms in basic hypergeometric series*, Compos. Math. **145** (2009), no. 3, pp. 553-565.

[9] J. Lovejoy, R. Osburn, *The Bailey chain and mock theta functions*, Adv. Math. **238** (2013), pp. 442-458, arxiv:1201.6194.

[10] J. Lovejoy, R. Osburn, *q-hypergeometric double sums as mock theta functions*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, to appear, arxiv:1204.2431.

[11] J. Lovejoy, R. Osburn, *On two 10th order mock theta identities*, preprint, arxiv:1209.2315.

[12] E. T. Mortenson, *On the dual nature of partial theta functions and Appell–Lerch sums*, submitted, arxiv: 1208.6316.

[13] S. Ramanujan, *The Lost Notebook and Other Unpublished Papers*, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, 1988.

[14] S. P. Zwegers, *Mock theta functions*, Ph.D. Thesis, Universiteit Utrecht, 2002.

E-mail address: `etmortenson@gmail.com`